EXHIBIT B

		·
. 1	GARY P. SINKELDAM, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 6500	
2	LAW OFFICE OF GARY P. SINKELDAM 618 S. Seventh Street Las Vegas, NV 89109 Telephone: (702) 866-0089	
3		
4	Facsimile: (702) 866-0093	
5	Attorneys for BEADLE MCBRIDE EVANS & REEVES and GARTH MCBRIDE	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		
11	In Re:	Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR
12	USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,)	JOINTLY ADMINISTERED Chapter 11 Cases
13	Debtor.)	Judge Linda B. Riegle
14	- ` `	Judgo 2111da 2. 1110g20
15 16	USACM LIQUIDATING TRUST; and USA) CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED) FUND, LLC,)	Adversary Case No. 08-01164-LBR
17 18	Plaintiffs,) v.	DECLARATION OF GARTH McBRIDE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT - BEADLE McBRIDE EVANS & REEVES, LLP
19) BEADLE McBRIDE EVANS & REEVES,)	
20	LLP; REEVES, EVANS, McBRIDE &) ZHANG, LLP, TG McBRIDE CPA LTD.;) and T GARTH McBRIDE,)	
21)	
22	Defendants.	
23	/	
24	DECLARATION OF GARTH MCBRIDE	
25	I, GARTH McBRIDE, hereby swear under oath:	
26	1. I am over the age of 18, and mentally competent, and I	
27	have knowledge of the facts in this matter and if called upon to do	
28	so, could and would testify:	
	1	
ļ	DECLARATION OF GARTH McBRIDE	BK-S-06-10725-LBR

- 3. I was the partner at BEADLE, McBRIDE, EVANS & REEVES, LLP, that was in charge of the accounting performed for the various entities controlled under the USACM LIQUIDATING TRUST including but not limited to DTDF and FTDF. While the BMER group of defendants vehemently deny the allegations of malpractice in accounting related negligence set forth by the USACM LIQUIDATING TRUST, it was determined to be in the best interests of BMER to settle the case for \$1.5 million from insurance proceeds provided by the insurance carrier for BMER.
- 4. Allegations have been made as to the value of claims of the USACM Trust against BMER to be in excess of \$30 million. Again, BMER vehemently denies any wrongdoing or liability for any of the claims set forth by the Trust. However, BMER recognizes that a judgment in excess of the applicable insurance coverage of \$1 or \$2 million provided by the malpractice insurance carrier for BMER would be financially disastrous.
- 5. Other than the insurance assets, BMER and its individual partners do not have the financial capacity, through insurance or otherwise, to pay a judgment that the trustees might recover against it on the relevant claims. BMER would not be in any position to fund any judgment in excess of the aforesaid insurance. A judgment for anything in excess of the insurance would be financially disastrous to the BMER group of defendants. Beyond the financial implications, the plain and simply fact is that there are minimal assets available from any of the BMER entities or

1 defendants that would be available to satisfy said judgment. 2 Also, the insurance carrier for BMER has filed a Declaratory Relief Action against BMER. If the insurer succeeds on 3 the Declaratory Relief Action, there would not even be insurance 4 coverage to fund a judgment. Also, the insurer is claiming policy 5 6 limits to be only \$1 million, not \$2 million. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the 8 United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and 9 that this declaration was executed on this 24 day of November . 10 2008, at Las Vegas, Nevada. 11 12 GARTH McBRIDE 13 14 STATE OF NEVADA 15 SS. COUNTY OF CLARK 16 17 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before 18 me by AFFIANT this & day of 19 Notary Public - State of Nevada County of Clark 20 ZOLA T. ABADIE My Appointment Expires 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28